

September 1, 2005

The Honorable Arlen Specter  
Chairman  
United States Senate Judiciary Committee  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Patrick Leahy  
Ranking Member  
United States Senate Judiciary Committee  
433 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senators Specter and Leahy,

As law professors from across the United States, we write to express our opposition to the confirmation of Judge John Roberts to the United States Supreme Court.

The record made available to date suggests that Judge Roberts holds a limited view of Congress' authority to enact key worker, civil rights and environmental protections and a similarly narrow view of the vital role our courts and our government play in safeguarding individual rights, especially civil and women's rights. In contrast, Judge Roberts holds an expansive view of presidential power and law enforcement authority. If transformed into decisional law, these views, taken together, could produce a government with little power to protect its citizenry and a citizenry with greatly reduced power to protect itself from the abuses of government and other powerful interests. In other words, they could produce a national order contrary to the promises of our Constitution and the rights it guarantees.

*Congress' authority to correct nationwide problems.* In his very first opinion on the bench, Judge Roberts dissented to express an exceedingly restrictive view of Congress' authority to enact important regulatory legislation. He suggested that Congress did not have the power, under the Constitution's Commerce Clause, to protect what he called a "hapless toad" through endangered species laws. No court has ever declared an application of the Endangered Species Act unconstitutional. Judge Roberts' apparent view of Congress' authority potentially threatens a wide swath of legislation rooted in the Commerce Clause, including civil rights safeguards, minimum wage and maximum hour laws, clean air, clean water, and workplace safety protections.

*Judicial authority to protect individual rights.* During his years of service in the administrations of Presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush, under the banner of so-called "judicial restraint," Judge Roberts helped push legal policies that sought to weaken the vital, historic role of the federal courts as an enforcer of individual rights, including, prominently, the rights of racial minorities and women.

Judge Roberts has shown a lack of appreciation for the importance of remedying this country's shameful legacy of racial discrimination. Less than twenty years after the enactment of the Voting Rights Act, he opposed reinvigorating Section 2 following the Supreme Court's decision

in *Mobile v. Bolden*, characterizing the revision as a “radical experiment.”<sup>1</sup> Judge Roberts argued that “violations of § 2 should not be made too easy to prove” since doing so would “provide a basis for the most intrusive interference imaginable by federal courts into state and local processes.”<sup>2</sup> Fortunately, Congress overwhelmingly rejected Judge Roberts’ position, leading to increased minority representation in state and local governments. Judge Roberts also defended the constitutionality of legislation stripping the Supreme Court of its ability to hear desegregation cases and legislation stripping the lower federal courts of their authority to remedy school desegregation with busing. In addition, he condemned a key Supreme Court decision striking down a Texas law allowing schools to deny admission to the children of undocumented aliens; called the Fair Housing Act “government intrusion”; advised the Justice Department not to seek standard remedies in job discrimination cases – offers of employment and backpay – calling them “staggering”; criticized a long-standing executive order requiring federal contractors to set flexible, reasonable goals and timetables, not “quotas,” for hiring more minorities to correct unlawful workplace disparities; asserted that an affirmative action program failed because it “required the recruiting of inadequately prepared candidates”; and as Acting Solicitor General, with final decision-making authority over the government’s position, sought to invalidate the Federal Communication Commission’s affirmative action program in broadcast licensing, an extremely rare move given that the Solicitor General’s Office, pursuant to its statutory mandate, almost always defends federal government policy.

Judge Roberts has similarly taken positions that would undermine women’s rights, particularly in the areas of sex discrimination and reproductive choice. While in the Reagan Justice Department, he advocated several positions that would have limited the effectiveness and scope of Title IX, the law barring discrimination against women in education. He also asserted a cost defense to gender discrimination and argued that the Constitution’s equal protection clause should not give heightened constitutional protection to women facing government-sponsored sex discrimination – positions the Supreme Court had rejected. As associate White Counsel in the Reagan White House, Judge Roberts derided bipartisan state and national efforts to fix what he referred to as the “purported gender gap”<sup>3</sup> in job pay, confidently dismissing men’s pay advantage over women as attributable to factors like seniority and women leaving the workforce for family reasons. Later, as deputy Solicitor General, he co-authored a brief arguing that Title IX did not permit a girl who was repeatedly sexually harassed by her teacher to sue for compensatory damages, an argument the Supreme Court rejected, in part for leaving the girl “no remedy at all.”

Judge Roberts’ record on reproductive choice is also of great concern. In a brief and on public television, he argued, as principal deputy Solicitor General, that a civil rights law did not protect women from harassment by violent anti-abortion demonstrators at abortion clinics. He also wrote in a government brief that *Roe v. Wade* “was wrongly decided and should be overruled.”

---

<sup>1</sup> Memorandum from John Roberts to The Attorney General, Edward Schmultz, W. Bradford Reynolds, Stan Morris, Bruce Fein, Kenneth Starr, Thomas DeCair, and Tex Lezar re: “Response to Vernon Jordon on the Voting Rights Act”, attaching draft article, (Nov. 17, 1981) (on file with Alliance for Justice).

<sup>2</sup> Memorandum from John Roberts to The Attorney General re: “Voting Rights Act: Section 2”, attaching a statement entitled “Why Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act Should Be Retained Unchanged” (Dec. 22, 1981) (on file with Alliance for Justice).

<sup>3</sup> Memorandum from John G. Roberts to Fred F. Fielding re: Draft “Status of the States” 1982 Year End Report (Jan. 17, 1983) (on file with Alliance for Justice).

Judge Roberts has taken similarly regressive positions on a host of other federal rights and protections. While in the Reagan Justice Department, he dismissed what he referred to as the “so-called right to privacy” and generally objected to the notion of “fundamental rights,” with specific criticism of *Griswold v. Connecticut*. He also referred to litigation under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a landmark law, as the “most serious federal court problem” and decried “the damage” wrought by the Supreme Court’s holding that federal statutory rights were enforceable under Section 1983. As principal deputy Solicitor General, without invitation from the Supreme Court, he weighed in on two cases seeking to restrict Section 1983’s scope, asserting in one that federal courts had no authority to enforce federal Medicaid law and, in the other, that they could not enforce the federal law requiring state child welfare agencies receiving federal funds to make reasonable efforts to keep or reunite foster children with their natural families. Judge Roberts also defended the George H.W. Bush administration’s position that private citizens have limited rights to enforce environmental protections, even where Congress tries to provide them broader enforcement authority.

*Expanding Executive Authority.* On the bench and in the Reagan and George H.W. Bush administrations, Judge Roberts has accorded great deference to the authority of both the president and law enforcement. As to presidential power, he joined a D.C. Circuit decision adopting the Bush administration’s position that detainees designated as “enemy combatants” may be tried for war crimes before military commissions lacking basic procedural safeguards, ruling that the Geneva Convention, which provides trial protections to prisoners of war, is unenforceable in U.S. courts and, alternatively, did not apply to the detainees. In addition, disagreeing with the other judges on a three-judge panel, Judge Roberts adopted the Bush administration’s position that a presidential order validly eliminated lawsuits against Iraqi officials brought by American POWs for torture they suffered during the first Gulf War. During his service in the Reagan administration, Judge Roberts vigorously defended the unfettered exercise of presidential power. Among other things, he embraced the rather extreme libertarian fantasy of reconsidering the constitutionality of and abolishing independent regulatory agencies – like the Federal Reserve Board, the National Labor Relations Board, the Consumer Products Safety Commission and the Occupational Safety and Health Commission – on the theory that they usurp powers reserved for the president.

Judge Roberts’ overly deferential view of law enforcement authority is also noteworthy. On the bench, he has rejected several significant claims of improper search and seizure, dissenting in one case where the majority reversed the conviction, breaking from precedent in another to justify the search, and denying relief in a third to a 12-year-old girl who was arrested and detained for eating a french fry on the subway, even though an adult caught doing the same thing would have been given a citation. This limited judicial record is a natural extension of what Judge Roberts advocated in the Reagan and first Bush administrations. As the principal deputy Solicitor General, according to the *Wall Street Journal*, “his office chose to get involved in dozens of state cases to limit the rights of criminal defendants.”<sup>4</sup> For instance, the office sought to erect new procedural hurdles to federal *habeas corpus* review of state convictions and to bar certain kinds of *habeas* claims from being heard, including alleged *Miranda* violations and claims of actual innocence. As an advisor in the Reagan administration, Judge Roberts

---

<sup>4</sup> Jess Bravin, *Judge Roberts’s Rules of Law and Order*, WALL STREET JOURNAL, Aug. 8, 2005 at A4.

advocated overriding the strong ethical and legal prohibitions on law enforcement officials directly communicating with criminal defendants known to be represented by counsel, limiting *habeas* relief, and curtailing the rule that requires exclusion of evidence obtained in violation of the Fourth Amendment's prohibition against unreasonable searches and seizures.

*Expanding the role of religion in public institutions.* In the Reagan administration, Judge Roberts approved a speech by Education Secretary Bill Bennett criticizing Supreme Court decisions barring religion in schools as antithetical to “the preservation of a free society”; defended the constitutionality of legislation stripping the Supreme Court of jurisdiction to hear school prayer cases; and called a Supreme Court decision invalidating a religiously-inspired moment of silence “indefensible.” Judge Roberts applauded then-Associate Justice Rehnquist’s dissent for seeking to overturn a landmark precedent – “the *Lemon* test” – which ensures government neutrality toward religion. As principal deputy Solicitor General, Judge Roberts joined efforts to do what he had tacitly praised Justice Rehnquist for attempting: Judge Roberts co-authored briefs asking the Court to scrap the *Lemon* test and uphold a school district’s practice of paying clergy to deliver religious prayers at graduation ceremonies. The Supreme Court struck down the practice as impermissibly advancing religion.

\*

\*

\*

Judge Roberts is a gifted lawyer with impressive professional qualifications. His existing record, however, demonstrates that he does not appreciate the important role that an independent judiciary plays in safeguarding individual rights and enforcing legal protections. Perhaps additional portions of his record, withheld by the current administration, would tell us something different. Judge Roberts’ 1989-1993 service as principal deputy Solicitor General warrants close examination. The position of second-in-charge of the Solicitor General’s Office was the most important, most influential position Judge Roberts held as a lawyer. And because the position gave him the opportunity to express his legal views on the most important issues facing the nation – voting rights, school desegregation, sex discrimination, access to justice, affirmative action, church-state separation, criminal justice – the limited set of documents sought by Judiciary Committee Democrats could potentially provide the best insight into how he would approach the law if confirmed. The White House’s refusal to disclose these documents, however, leaves the Senate and the American people with the record set forth above.

Because Judge Roberts, if confirmed, will replace retiring Justice Sandra Day O’Connor, his views on the law are doubly significant. The current court is closely divided. Justice O’Connor has provided the swing vote in many landmark 5-4 decisions. If Judge Roberts steps into her shoes, he will wield enormous power to shape or reshape the law in many of the areas touched on above, including access to the courts, Congress’ legislative authority, civil rights, women’s rights, privacy rights and worker and environmental protections. Based on the existing record, it is evident that as Justice O’Connor’s replacement, Judge Roberts would move the Court away from preserving these vital safeguards.

On the existing record, we do not believe that Judge Roberts warrants a lifetime seat on our nation’s highest court, and we urge the Senate to withhold its consent to his confirmation.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Abel  
Connell Professor of Law  
University of California—Los Angeles

John Anderson  
Visiting Professor of Law  
Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law  
Center

Claudia Angelos  
Professor of Clinical Law  
New York University School of Law

Elvia R. Arriola  
Associate Professor of Law  
Northern Illinois University

Frank Askin  
Professor of Law  
Rutgers School of Law

Michael Avery  
Professor of Law  
Suffolk Law School

Milner S. Ball  
Caldwell Professor of Constitutional Law  
University of Georgia School of Law

Mark Barenburg  
Professor of Law  
Columbia Law School

Elizabeth Bartholet  
Morris Wasserstein Public Interest Professor of Law  
Harvard Law School

Linda M. Beale  
Richard W. and Marie L. Corman Scholar and  
Associate Professor  
University of Illinois College of Law

George Bell  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
University of Illinois College of Law

Jeannine Bell  
Professor of Law  
Indiana University School of Law—Bloomington

Leslie Bender  
Board of Advisors Professor of Law  
Syracuse University College of Law

Robert Benson  
Professor of Law  
Loyola Law School

Adele Bernhard  
Associate Professor of Law, Director of Pace  
Criminal Justice Clinic & Post-Conviction Project  
Pace Law School

Tamar Birckhead  
Clinical Assistant Professor of Law  
University of North Carolina School of Law

Walter J. Blakey  
Professor of Law  
University of North Carolina School of Law

Beryl Blaustone  
Professor of Law  
CUNY Law School

Robert M. Bloom  
Professor of Law  
Boston College Law School

Michael C. Blumm  
Professor of Law  
Lewis and Clark Law School

Charles S. Bobis  
Professor of Law  
St. John's University School of Law

Ivan E. Bodensteiner  
Professor of Law  
Valparaiso University School of Law

John Charles Boger  
Wade Edwards Distinguished Professor of Law  
University of North Carolina School of Law

Amelia Boss  
Professor of Law  
Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Paul Brietzke  
Professor of Law  
Valparaiso University School of Law

Katherine S. Broderick  
 Dean and Professor of Law  
 University of the District of Columbia, Clarke School  
 of Law

Mark S. Brodin  
 Professor of Law  
 Boston College Law School

Richard O. Brooks  
 Professor of Law  
 Vermont Law School

Elizabeth M. Bruch  
 Associate Professor of Law  
 Valparaiso University School of Law

Susan Bryant  
 Professor of Law  
 CUNY Law School

Neil H. Buchanan  
 Professor of Law  
 Rutgers School of Law—Newark

Rosanna Cavallaro  
 Professor of Law  
 Suffolk University Law School

Erwin Chemerinsky  
 Alston & Bird Professor of Law and Political Science  
 Duke University

William Childs  
 Assistant Professor of Law  
 Western New England College of Law

Margaret Chon  
 Professor and Dean's Distinguished Scholar  
 Seattle University School of Law

Marjorie Cohn  
 Professor of Law  
 Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Douglas Colbert  
 Professor of Law  
 University of Maryland School of Law

Luke Cole  
 Visiting Professor of Law  
 University of California--Hastings, College of Law

John Copacino  
 Professor of Law  
 Georgetown University Law Center

Lois Cox  
 Clinical Professor of Law  
 University of Iowa College of Law

Rev. Lynne E. Cunningham  
 Professor of Clinical Law, Emeritus  
 George Washington University Law School

Charles E. Daye  
 Henry P. Brandeis Distinguished Professor of Law  
 University of North Carolina School of Law

Connie de la Vega  
 Professor of Law  
 University of San Francisco School of Law

Frank Deale  
 Associate Professor of Law  
 CUNY Law School

Diane Dimond  
 Clinical Professor of Law  
 Duke University School of Law

Robert Dinerstein  
 Professor of Law  
 American University-Washington College of Law

Victoria J. Dodd  
 Professor of Law  
 Suffolk University Law School

Jay Dougherty  
 Professor of Law  
 Loyola Law School

Pamela Edwards  
 Professor of Law  
 CUNY Law School

Maxine Eichner  
 Associate Professor of Law  
 University of North Carolina School of Law

Jon Eisenberg  
 Professor of Law  
 University of California--Hastings, College of Law

Peter Erlinder  
 Professor of Law  
 William Mitchell College of Law

Marie A. Failing  
 Professor of Law  
 Hamline University School of Law

Mary Louise Fellows  
Everett Fraser  
University of Minnesota Law School

Catherine Fisk  
Professor of Law  
Duke University School of Law

Judy Fonda  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Loyola Law School

Craig Futterman  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
University of Chicago Law School

Paul J. Galanti  
Professor of Law Emeritus  
Indiana University School of Law—Indianapolis

Mary Ellen Gale  
Professor of Law  
Whittier Law School

Paula Galowitz  
Clinical Professor of Law  
New York University School of Law

Alex Geisinger  
Professor of Law and Associate Dean for Faculty  
Development  
Valparaiso University School of Law

Steven G. Gey  
David and Deborah Fonvielle and Donald and Janet  
Hinkle Professor of Law  
Florida State University College of Law

Phyllis Goldfarb  
Professor of Law  
Boston College Law School

Alvin L. Goldman  
Professor of Law  
University of Kentucky College of Law

Anne B. Goldstein  
Professor of Law  
Western New England College of Law

Victor Goode  
Associate Professor of Law  
CUNY Law School

Robert W. Gordon  
Chancellor Kent Professor of Law and Legal History  
Yale Law School

Stephen E. Gottlieb  
Professor of Law  
Albany Law School

Steven K. Green  
Associate Professor of Law  
Willamette University School of Law

K.J. Greene  
Associate Professor of Law  
Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Isabelle R. Gunning  
Professor of Law  
Southwest University School of Law

Phoebe A. Haddon  
Professor of Law  
Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Linda Hamilton Krieger  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Berkeley, Boalt Hall School  
of Law

Joel Handler  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Los Angeles Law School

Ian F. Haney Lopez  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Berkeley, Boalt Hall School  
of Law

Sidney L. Haring  
Professor of Law  
CUNY Law School

Kathy Hessler  
Professor of Law  
Case Western Reserve School of Law

Steven J. Heyman  
Professor of Law  
Chicago-Kent College of Law

John Quentin Heywood  
Professor of Law  
American University-Washington College of Law

Ingrid Hillinger  
Professor of Law  
Boston College Law School

Wythe Holt  
Emeritus University Research Professor of Law  
University of Alabama Law School

Ruth-Arlene Howe  
Professor of Law  
Boston College Law School

Kent Hull  
Adjunct Associate Professor of Law  
Notre Dame Law School

Richard E. Humphrey  
Indiana University School of Law

Tim Iglesias  
Associate Professor  
University of San Francisco School of Law

Rachel M. Janutis  
Associate Professor of Law  
Capital University Law School

Peter Jaszi  
Professor of Law  
American University-Washington College of Law

David Kairys  
James E. Beasley Professor of Law  
Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Eileen Kaufman  
Professor of Law  
Touro Law Center

Kevin B. Kelly  
Professor of Law  
Seton Hall Law School

Joseph E. Kennedy  
Associate Professor of Law  
University of North Carolina School of Law

Paul S. Kibel  
Adjunct Professor  
Golden Gate University School of Law

Janine Young Kim  
Assistant Professor of Law  
Whittier Law School

Richard Klein  
Professor of Law  
Touro Law Center

Ronald B. Lansing  
Professor of Law  
Lewis and Clark Law School

JoEllen Lind  
Professor of Law  
Valparaiso University School of Law

Christine A. Littleton  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Los Angeles Law School

Stephen Loffredo  
Professor of Law  
CUNY Law School

Susan Looper-Friedman  
Professor of Law  
Capital University Law School

Mary L. Lyndon  
Professor of Law  
St. John's University School of Law

Joan Mahoney  
Professor of Law  
Wayne State University Law School

Karl Manheim  
Professor of Law  
Loyola Law School

Mari Matsuda  
Professor of Law  
Georgetown University Law Center

Carolyn McAllaster  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Duke University School of Law

Miguel A. Mendez  
Adelbert H. Sweet Professor of Law  
Stanford Law School

Saul Mendlovitz  
Dag Hammarskjöld Professor  
Rutgers Law School—Newark

Binny Miller  
Professor of Law  
American University-Washington College of Law



Alan D. Minuskin  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
Boston College Law School

Margaret Montoya  
Professor of Law  
University of New Mexico

Laurie A. Morin  
Professor of Law  
University of the District of Columbia, Clarke School  
of Law

Muriel Morisey  
Associate Professor of Law  
Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Kurt Olson  
Professor of Law  
Massachusetts School of Law

David Orentlicher  
Samuel R. Rosen Professor of Law, Co-Director,  
Center for Law and Health  
Indiana University School of Law—Indianapolis

Marcy E. Peek  
Assistant Professor of Law  
Whittier Law School

Ascanio Piomelli  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
Hastings Civil Justice Clinic

Nancy D. Polikoff  
Professor of Law  
American University-Washington College of Law

Deana Pollard  
Associate Professor of Law  
Texas Southern University, Thurgood Marshall  
School of Law

Daniel J. Pollitt  
Emeritus Professor of Law  
University of North Carolina School of Law

James G. Pope  
Professor of Law & Sidney Reitman Scholar  
Rutgers Law School—Newark

Henry J. Richardson III  
Professor of Law  
Temple University, Beasley School of Law

William Richman  
Professor of Law  
University of Toledo College of Law

Joel Rogers  
Professor of Law  
University of Wisconsin Law School

Naomi Roht-Arriaza  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Hastings, College of Law

Daria Roithmayr  
Professor of Law  
University of Illinois College of Law

Tom I. Romero  
Assistant Professor of Law  
Hamline University School of Law

Michael M. Rooke-Ley  
Emeritus Professor of Law  
Santa Clara University

Constance L. Rudnick  
Professor of Law  
Massachusetts School of Law

David Rudovsky  
Senior Fellow  
University of Pennsylvania Law School

Margaret Russell  
Professor of Law  
Santa Clara University

Elizabeth M. Schneider  
Rose L. Hoffer Professor of Law  
Brooklyn Law School

Anne N. Schroth  
Clinical Professor of Law  
University of Michigan Law School

Herman Schwartz  
Professor of Law  
American University-Washington College of Law

Michael A. Schwartz  
Assistant Professor of Law  
Syracuse University College of Law

Elisabeth Semel  
Clinical Professor of Law  
University of California--Berkeley, Boalt Hall School  
of Law

Katherine C. Sheenan  
Professor of Law  
Southwestern Law School

Marjorie M. Shultz  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Berkeley, Boalt Hall School  
of Law

Abbe Smith  
Professor of Law  
Georgetown University Law Center

Paul J. Spiegelman  
Director of Skills Training and Adjunct Professor of  
Law  
Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Clyde Spillenger  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Los Angeles School of Law

Joan Steinman  
Distinguished Professor of Law  
Chicago-Kent College of Law

Timothy Stoltzfus Jost  
Robert L. Willett Family Professor  
Washington and Lee University School of Law

Mark Strasser  
Trustees Professor of Law  
Capital University Law School

Marcy Strauss  
Professor of Law  
Loyola Law School

Susan P. Stuart  
Associate Professor of Law  
Valparaiso University School of Law

Suji Sutler  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
University of the District of Columbia, Clarke School  
of Law

Richard S. Ugelow  
Professor of Law  
American University-Washington College of Law  
Civil Practice Clinic

Reynaldo Valencia  
Professor of Law  
St. Mary's University College of Law

Penny M. Venetis  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Rutgers School of Law—Newark

Joan Vogel  
Professor of Law  
Vermont Law School

Martin Wagner  
Adjunct Professor  
Golden Gate University School of Law

Lawson Warner  
Professor of Law  
Howard University Law School

Susan L. Waysdorf  
Professor of Law  
University of the District of Columbia, Clarke School  
of Law

Donald F. Wilkes, Jr.  
Professor of Law  
University of Georgia School of Law

Joan C. Williams  
Distinguished Professor of Law, Director, Center for  
WorkLife Law  
University of California--Hastings, College of Law

Adam Winkler  
Acting Professor of Law  
University of California--Los Angeles Law School

Stephen Wizner  
William O. Douglas Clinical Professor  
Yale Law School

William J. Woodward, Jr.  
Professor of Law  
Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Richard W. Wright  
Professor of Law  
Chicago-Kent College of Law

Larry Yackle  
Professor of Law  
Boston University School of Law

Jonathan Zasloff  
Professor of Law  
University of California--Los Angeles, School of  
Law